

Exhibit 33

1 Jason C. Murray (CA Bar No. 169806)
2 Robert B. McNary (CA Bar No. 253745)
3 CROWELL & MORING LLP
4 515 South Flower St., 40th Floor
5 Los Angeles, CA 90071
6 Telephone: 213-443-5582
7 Facsimile: 213-622-2690
8 Email: jmurray@crowell.com
9 rmcnary@crowell.com

6 Jerome A. Murphy (*pro hac vice*)
7 Astor H.L. Heaven (*pro hac vice*)
8 CROWELL & MORING LLP
9 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
10 Washington, D.C. 20004
11 Telephone: 202-624-2500
12 Facsimile: 202-628-5116
13 Email: jmurphy@crowell.com
14 ahaven@crowell.com

11 *Counsel for Plaintiff ViewSonic Corporation*

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
17 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

18 This Document Relates To:

19 *ViewSonic Corporation v. Chunghwa*
20 *Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, Case No. 3:14-
21 cv-02510

Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC

MDL No. 1917

Individual Case No. 3:14-cv-02510

**PLAINTIFF VIEWSONIC
CORPORATION'S SUPPLEMENTAL
RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO
DEFENDANTS MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC
CORPORATION, MITSUBISHI
ELECTRIC US, INC., AND MITSUBISHI
ELECTRIC VISUAL SOLUTIONS
AMERICA, INC.'S FIRST SET OF
INTERROGATORIES**

24 PROPOUNDING PARTY: Defendants Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric
25 US, Inc., and Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc.

26 RESPONDING PARTY: Plaintiff ViewSonic Corporation

27 SET NO.: ONE

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

For each purchase of a CRT or CRT Product Identified in Interrogatory No. 3, state whether the CRT or CRT Product was purchased as part of a system or other bundled product and, if so, the value of each component of such system or bundled product, including without limitation the value of any insurance plans or warranties. As part of Your response, Identify each Document that You contend supports Your response.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates its General Objections as though set forth fully herein. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive, particularly in that seeks ViewSonic to identify and value each component of every CRT Product that ViewSonic purchased over a 12 year period. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information not relevant to the claims or defenses of any party in this litigation. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the terms “system or bundled product,” “value” and “component” are vague and ambiguous.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

ViewSonic refers to and incorporates each of the General and Specific Objections identified in its initial Response to Interrogatory No. 5. Subject to and without waiving any of these foregoing objections, ViewSonic states that it purchased CRT Products, not individual CRTs. After a reasonable search and investigation, ViewSonic further states that it did not bundle other goods with its CRT Product purchases, but some agreements required ViewSonic’s suppliers to provide warranties for their CRT Products. Pursuant to Rule 33(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, ViewSonic further refers Defendants to the agreements produced as VIEW_CRT00040419, VIEW_CRT00040458, VIEW_CRT00040522, VIEW_CRT00040524, VIEW_CRT00040526, VIEW_CRT00040528, VIEW_CRT00040535, VIEW_CRT00040536, VIEW_CRT00040600, VIEW_CRT00040673, VIEW_CRT00040738, VIEW_CRT00040739, VIEW_CRT00040777, VIEW_CRT00040811, VIEW_CRT00040846, VIEW_CRT00040916, VIEW_CRT00040987, VIEW_CRT00041042, VIEW_CRT00041078, VIEW_CRT00041115,

1 VIEW_CRT00041130, VIEW_CRT00041145, VIEW_CRT00041208, VIEW_CRT00041214,
 2 VIEW_CRT00041221, VIEW_CRT00041317, VIEW_CRT00041322, VIEW_CRT00041325,
 3 VIEW_CRT00041327, VIEW_CRT00041328, VIEW_CRT00041337, VIEW_CRT00041343,
 4 VIEW_CRT00041346, VIEW_CRT00041351, VIEW_CRT00041356, VIEW_CRT00041361,
 5 VIEW_CRT00041366, VIEW_CRT00041371, VIEW_CRT00041376, VIEW_CRT00041454,
 6 VIEW_CRT00041455, VIEW_CRT00041508, and VIEW_CRT00041538. ViewSonic did not
 7 track the value of the warranty “component” in these agreements in the ordinary course of
 8 business. Discovery in this matter is ongoing, and ViewSonic reserves the right to supplement
 9 and/or revise this response as appropriate.

10 **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

11 For each purchase of a CRT or CRT Product Identified in Interrogatory No. 3, state
 12 whether the purchase was made on the spot market or pursuant to a purchasing or other
 13 agreement, including without limitation a broker or agent agreement. As part of Your response,
 14 Identify each Document that You contend supports Your response.

15 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

16 ViewSonic refers to and incorporates its General Objections as though set forth fully
 17 herein. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the terms “spot
 18 market,” “broker agreement,” and “agent agreement” are vague and ambiguous. ViewSonic
 19 further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the information it seeks is not relevant to
 20 the claims or defenses of any party. ViewSonic further objects to this Interrogatory on the
 21 grounds that it is unduly burdensome and oppressive to identify the nature of every agreement
 22 governing every purchase of each CRT Product over a 12 year period.

23 **SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

24 ViewSonic refers to and incorporates each of the General and Specific Objections
 25 identified in its initial Response to Interrogatory No. 6. Subject to and without waiving any of
 26 these foregoing objections, after a reasonable search and investigation, ViewSonic states that it
 27 purchased CRT Products pursuant to OEM agreements and purchase orders. ViewSonic has
 28 already produced such documents and the burden of identifying and linking them to each and